

We are very interested in your comments and interests in our ontology workshop. Please fill out this survey, which will provide invaluable feedback to us in terms of developing useful workshops for users.

Would you class yourself as:

- Bench scientist
- PI/Project manager
- Bioinformaticist within a database resource
- Bioinformaticist in a lab
- Other (please specify)

Do you commonly use biological databases for your work? If so, which ones do you use most frequently? (Please cross out those that do not apply)

- NCBI (Entrez, GenBank, PubMed, RefSeq)
- EBI (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/>)
- UniProt/SWISSPROT
- Gramene/TAIR/MaizeGDB/TIGR/GrainGenes
- GRIN/IRIS/ABRC (Plant germplasm stock repositories)
- MGI/SGD/FlyBase/Dictybase.
- RGD/TIGR/ZFIN/Wormbase/PSU Sanger
- Others (please specify)

How did you learn about ontologies?

- This workshop
- By visiting model organism databases
- Learning from colleagues
- Read an article
- Visited posters and other workshops
- Others (please specify)

What model organism(s) do you work with? (Please specify).

Which dataset from your project would you like to annotate with ontologies?

- Genes/gene products (proteins and transcripts)
- ESTs/Microarrays
- Proteomics
- Mutant Phenotypes / QTL
- FSTs (Flanking Sequence Tags/insertion/deletion mutant lines)
- Genetic stocks/germplasms
- Diseases
- Others (please specify)

Which ontology would you like to use in your project(s)?

- Gene Ontology (GO)
Molecular function/Biological process/Cellular component
- Plant Ontology (PO)
- Trait Ontology (TO)
- Anatomy and growth stage ontology for your favorite organism
- Others (please specify)

Did you find the introduction to the GO and PO in this workshop useful?

- Very useful
- Mostly useful
- Fairly useful
- Not useful

Did you find the content of the GO&PO training section useful?

- Very useful
- Mostly useful
- Fairly useful
- Not useful

Would you like to be invited to a more detailed workshop about GO or PO?

- GO
- PO

Would you like to be contacted about contributing annotations to GO or PO?

- GO
- PO

Do you have any additional comments and/or suggestions about ontologies in general and the workshop?